## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION

ZACHARY ENSLOW,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 3:19-cv-01794-YY

v.

OPINION AND ORDER

OREGON STATE, MULTNOMAH COUNTY, REGIONAL JUSTICE CENTER, MULTNOMAH SHERIFF'S AND POLICE DEPARTMENT,

Defendants.

## MOSMAN, J.,

On July 31, 2020, Magistrate Judge Youlee Yim You issued her Findings and Recommendation (F. & R.) [15]. Judge You recommended that I DISMISS this case with prejudice. Plaintiff filed objections [17] after the deadline to do so had passed. Upon review, I agree with Judge You and DISMISS this case with prejudice.

## **DISCUSSION**

The magistrate judge makes only recommendations to the court, to which any party may file written objections. The court is not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge but retains responsibility for making the final determination. The court is generally required to make a de novo determination regarding those portions of the report or specified findings or

Case 3:19-cv-01794-YY Document 18 Filed 09/25/20

recommendation as to which an objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). However, the court

is not required to review, de novo or under any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of

the magistrate judge as to those portions of the F. & R. to which no objections are addressed. See

Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121

(9th Cir. 2003). While the level of scrutiny under which I am required to review the F. & R.

depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, I am free to accept, reject,

or modify any part of the F. & R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

Mr. Enslow's untimely objections are not persuasive. Judge You recommended that I

dismiss this case in part because the complaint fails to state a claim for relief. The objections add

nothing except conclusory statements that the complaint does in fact state a claim for relief and

has merit. I agree with Judge You's conclusion that the complaint in fact does not.

CONCLUSION

Upon review, I agree with Judge You's recommendation and I ADOPT the F. & R. [15]

as my own opinion. This case is DISMISSED with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 25 day of September, 2020.

United States District